### **Reviewer Report**

Title: ShinyLearner: A containerized benchmarking tool for machine-learning classification of tabular

data

**Version: Original Submission Date:** 10/11/2019

Reviewer name: Karthik Ram

#### **Reviewer Comments to Author:**

The authors present a very compelling tool that allows researchers to compare and benchmark algorithms across various packages regardless of their design. Shinylearner also provides a simple web interface that allows users to easily generate Docker commands that anyone can execute (assuming they have a basic knowledge of Docker).

I found the rationale of the paper to be very clear and coherent. The authors make a clear case for the need for benchmarking, especially when comparing disparate algorithms and their various software implementations, especially from the lens of applying supervised learning to biomedical studies. ShinyLearner is modular and extensive, and language agnostic, making it widely useful to a broad community of researchers. It also allows for diverse inputs and standardizes outputs. By running all benchmarks inside a container, it removes the challenges of dealing with complex dependencies, especially for users that may not be technically savvy, all the while not building out a black box. It supports a large number of classification algorithms, has gpu support and is already set up to be sustainable.

## **Level of Interest**

Please indicate how interesting you found the manuscript: Choose an item.

# **Quality of Written English**

Please indicate the quality of language in the manuscript: Choose an item.

## **Declaration of Competing Interests**

Please complete a declaration of competing interests, considering the following questions:

- Have you in the past five years received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold any stocks or shares in an organisation that may in any way gain or lose financially from the publication of this manuscript, either now or in the future?
- Do you hold or are you currently applying for any patents relating to the content of the manuscript?

- Have you received reimbursements, fees, funding, or salary from an organization that holds or has applied for patents relating to the content of the manuscript?
- Do you have any other financial competing interests?
- Do you have any non-financial competing interests in relation to this paper?

If you can answer no to all of the above, write 'I declare that I have no competing interests' below. If your reply is yes to any, please give details below.

I declare that I have no competing interests

I agree to the open peer review policy of the journal. I understand that my name will be included on my report to the authors and, if the manuscript is accepted for publication, my named report including any attachments I upload will be posted on the website along with the authors' responses. I agree for my report to be made available under an Open Access Creative Commons CC-BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/). I understand that any comments which I do not wish to be included in my named report can be included as confidential comments to the editors, which will not be published.

Choose an item.

To further support our reviewers, we have joined with Publons, where you can gain additional credit to further highlight your hard work (see: https://publons.com/journal/530/gigascience). On publication of this paper, your review will be automatically added to Publons, you can then choose whether or not to claim your Publons credit. I understand this statement.

Yes Choose an item.